

Applied Analysis (APPM 5450): Midterm 2 — solutions

8.30am – 9.50am, March 15, 2010. Closed books.

Problem 1: (30 points) Let A be a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space H .

(a) (10 points) Suppose that $\lambda \in \sigma_p(A)$. Prove that $\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma(A^*)$. Can you tell what part of the spectrum $\bar{\lambda}$ belongs to?

(b) (10 points) Suppose that A is self-adjoint, and that M is an invariant subspace of A . Prove that M^\perp is also an invariant subspace of A .

(c) (10 points) Suppose that A is compact and self-adjoint. Which statements are necessarily true?

(i) $\sigma(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

(iv) $\sigma(A) \subseteq (\sigma_p(A) \cup \{0\})$.

(ii) $\sigma_r(A) = \emptyset$.

(v) $\sigma(A)$ contains infinitely many points.

(iii) $\sigma_c(A) = \emptyset$.

(vi) If $\lambda \neq 0$, then $\dim(\ker(A - \lambda I)) < \infty$.

No motivation required.

Solution:

(a) Since $\lambda \in \sigma_p(A)$, we know that $A - \lambda I$ has a non-trivial null-space. It follows that $A^* - \bar{\lambda}I$ cannot be onto since

$$(1) \quad \overline{\text{ran}(A^* - \bar{\lambda}I)} = (\ker(A - \lambda I))^\perp.$$

Therefore $A^* - \bar{\lambda}I$ cannot be invertible, and so $\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma(A^*)$. As for the part of the spectrum, (1) rules out the possibility that $\text{ran}(A^* - \bar{\lambda}I)$ is dense, so $\bar{\lambda} \notin \sigma_c(A^*)$. Answer: $\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_p(A^*)$ or $\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_r(A^*)$.

(b) Fix $x \in M^\perp$. We need to prove that $Ax \in M^\perp$. For any $y \in M$, we have

$$(y, Ax) = (Ay, x) = 0$$

where the second equality follows from the fact that $Ay \in M$ (since M is invariant) and $x \in M^\perp$. Since $(y, Ax) = 0$ for all $y \in M$, it follows that $Ax \in M^\perp$.

(c) (i), (ii), (iv), and (vi) are true.

Problem 2: (20 points)

(a) (6 points) Define what is meant by the *derivative* of a distribution $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$.

(b) (14 points) Define $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ via $f(x) = |x|$. Calculate the distributional derivatives f' and f'' . Please motivate carefully.

Solution:

(a) The derivative T' is the map $T' : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \varphi \mapsto -T(\varphi')$.

(b) Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f', \varphi \rangle &= -\langle f, \varphi' \rangle = -\int_{-\infty}^0 (-x)\varphi'(x) dx - \int_0^{\infty} x\varphi'(x) dx \\ &= [x\varphi(x)]_{-\infty}^0 - \int_{-\infty}^0 \varphi(x) dx - [x\varphi(x)]_0^{\infty} + \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(x) dx.\end{aligned}$$

Now observe that $[x\varphi(x)]_{-\infty}^0 = 0 \cdot \varphi(0) - \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} t\varphi(t) = -\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} t\varphi(t)$. The limit is zero since φ decays faster than any polynomial. Analogously, $[x\varphi(x)]_0^{\infty} = 0$. It follows that

$$\langle f', \varphi \rangle = -\int_{-\infty}^0 \varphi(x) dx + \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(x) dx = \langle g, \varphi \rangle,$$

provided that we define the function g via

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & x \leq 0 \\ 1 & x > 0. \end{cases}$$

So $f' = g$. (Note that the value of $g(0)$ is irrelevant, any finite value can be assigned.) Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f'', \varphi \rangle &= \langle g', \varphi \rangle = -\langle g, \varphi' \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^0 \varphi'(x) dx - \int_0^{\infty} \varphi'(x) dx \\ &= [\varphi(x)]_{-\infty}^0 - [\varphi(x)]_0^{\infty} = \varphi(0) - (-\varphi(0)) = 2\varphi(0) = \langle 2\delta, \varphi \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

so $f'' = 2\delta$.

Problem 3: (20 points) Let $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the Schwartz space over \mathbb{R} .

(a) (6 points) Define what it means for a sequence to converge in \mathcal{S} . If your definition relies on any norms, semi-norms, metrics, bases, *etc*, then state the definition of these.

(b) (8 points) Let α be a positive integer. Prove that $\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^\alpha : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is a continuous map.

(c) (6 points) Set $\varphi_n(x) = e^{-(x-n)^2}$. Does the sequence $(\varphi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ converge in \mathcal{S} ? If so, to what?

Solution:

(a) For $k, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, set

$$\|\varphi\|_{\alpha,k} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (1 + |x|^2)^{k/2} |\varphi^{(\alpha)}(x)|,$$

where $\varphi^{(\alpha)}$ denotes the α derivative of φ . Then

$$\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi \text{ in } \mathcal{S} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \text{For every } \alpha, k \text{ we have } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_{\alpha,k} = 0.$$

(b) Fix α . Suppose $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in \mathcal{S} . This is to say that

(2) For every α, k we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_{\alpha,k} = 0$.

We need to prove that $\varphi_n^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow \varphi^{(\alpha)}$ in \mathcal{S} . Fix k, β . Then

$$\|\varphi_n^{(\alpha)} - \varphi^{(\alpha)}\|_{k,\beta} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (1 + |x|^2)^{k/2} |\varphi_n^{(\alpha+\beta)}(x) - \varphi^{(\alpha+\beta)}(x)| = \|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_{k,\alpha+\beta}.$$

By (2) we find that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n^{(\alpha)} - \varphi^{(\alpha)}\|_{k,\beta} = 0.$$

Since k, β were arbitrary, this proves that $\varphi_n^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow \varphi^{(\alpha)}$ in \mathcal{S} .

(c) Since $(\varphi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ converges pointwise to the zero-function, the only possible limit would be the zero function. But for any n , we have

$$\|\varphi_n - 0\|_{0,0} = \sup_x |\varphi_n(x)| = 1.$$

It follows that $(\varphi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ cannot converge.

Problem 4: (30 points) Let H be a Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $(\varphi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. Consider the operators

$$A_N x = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} (\varphi_n, x) \varphi_n, \quad \text{and} \quad B_N x = \exp(iA_N) x = \sum_{n=1}^N e^{i/n} (\varphi_n, x) \varphi_n.$$

The sequences $(A_N)_{N=1}^\infty$ and $(B_N)_{N=1}^\infty$ have the strong limits A and B , respectively.

(a) (10 points) Put a check-mark in all the boxes that are correct (no motivation required):

	Compact	Self-adjoint	Skew-adjoint	Normal	Unitary	One-to-one	Onto
A_N	T	T		T			
A	T	T		T			
B_N	T			T			
B				T	T	T	T

(b) (10 points) Do either of the sequences $(A_N)_{N=1}^\infty$ or $(B_N)_{N=1}^\infty$ converge in norm? Motivate your answers.

(c) (10 points) Specify the spectra of A and B and identify their different parts (*i.e.* specify σ_p , σ_c , and σ_r). No motivation required.

Solution:

(b) $(A_N)_{N=1}^\infty$ does converge in norm: Let $x \in H$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A - A_N)x\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} (\varphi_n, x) \varphi_n \right\|^2 = \{\text{Pythagoras}\} = \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{n} (\varphi_n, x) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(N+1)^2} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |(\varphi_n, x)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{(N+1)^2} \|x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\|A - A_N\| \leq 1/(N+1)$ so $A_N \rightarrow A$ in norm.

$(B_N)_{N=1}^\infty$ does not converge in norm: We have

$$\|B - B_N\| \geq \|(B - B_N)\varphi_{N+1}\| = \|e^{i/(N+1)}\varphi_{N+1}\| = |e^{i/(N+1)}| = 1.$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_p(A) &= \{1/n\}_{n=1}^\infty, & \sigma_c(A) &= \{0\}, & \sigma_r(A) &= \emptyset. \\ \sigma_p(B) &= \{e^{i/n}\}_{n=1}^\infty, & \sigma_c(B) &= \{1\}, & \sigma_r(B) &= \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$