

THE FOURIER TRANSFORM ON $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$

Suppose first that $T \in \mathcal{S}'$ is a smooth & compactly supported function, $T \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{T}, \varphi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix \cdot t} T(x) dx}_{=\hat{T}(x)} \varphi(t) dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T(x) \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix \cdot t} \varphi(t) dt}_{=\hat{\varphi}(t)} dx = \langle T, \hat{\varphi} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The integrand is absolutely summable, so we can invoke Fubini to interchange the integration order

Since we've already proven that $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is continuous, we can now trivially define $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ by duality.

Defⁿ For $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, define $\hat{T} = \mathcal{F}T$ by

$$\langle \hat{T}, \varphi \rangle = \langle T, \hat{\varphi} \rangle.$$

Similarly, define $\check{T} = \mathcal{F}^*T$ by $\langle \check{T}, \varphi \rangle = \langle T, \check{\varphi} \rangle$.

It follows immediately that

We proved earlier that if $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$,
 $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^*\varphi = \varphi$.

$$\langle \mathcal{F}^*\mathcal{F}T, \varphi \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}T, \mathcal{F}^*\varphi \rangle = \langle T, \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^*\varphi \rangle = \langle T, \varphi \rangle$$

So \mathcal{F}^* is the inverse of \mathcal{F} on \mathcal{S}' . To sum up:

Propⁿ The map $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is continuous, bijective, and ~~and~~ its inverse is continuous as well.

Example $T = \delta$ ← Dirac delta function

$$\langle \hat{T}, \varphi \rangle = \langle T, \hat{\varphi} \rangle = \hat{\varphi}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i0 \cdot x} \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) dx = \langle \beta^d, \varphi \rangle$$

$$\text{So } \hat{\delta} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}}$$

The Fourier transform on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

For now, we switch to complex-valued functions on \mathcal{S} & \mathcal{S}^* .

Nothing that we've done changes.

Defⁿ Let (\cdot, \cdot) denote the ~~inner~~ inner product, $(u, v) = \int \overline{u(x)} v(x) dx$
 Define $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by taking the closure of \mathcal{S} w.r.t. the $\|\cdot\|_2$ norm.

Lemma If $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $(\phi, \psi) = (\hat{\phi}, \hat{\psi})$.

Proof $(\phi, \psi) = \int \bar{\phi} \psi = \langle \bar{\phi}, \psi \rangle = \langle \bar{\phi}, \check{\psi} \rangle = \langle \check{\bar{\phi}}, \hat{\psi} \rangle$

We have $\check{\bar{\phi}}(t) = \int e^{ixt} \bar{\phi}(x) dx = \overline{\int e^{-ixt} \phi(x) dx} = \overline{\hat{\phi}(t)}$ so

$$(\phi, \psi) = \langle \check{\bar{\phi}}, \hat{\psi} \rangle = \langle \overline{\hat{\phi}}, \hat{\psi} \rangle = (\hat{\psi}, \hat{\phi}).$$

As a consequence of the lemma: $\|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_2^2 = (\hat{\phi}, \hat{\phi}) = (\phi, \phi) = \|\phi\|_2^2$

Thus $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is an isometric map.

Since \mathcal{S} is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we can ^{uniquely} extend \mathcal{F} to all of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Defⁿ We define $\mathcal{F}: L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as follows:

For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, pick $\phi_n \in \mathcal{S}$ s.t. $\|f - \phi_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$. Set $\hat{f} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\phi}_n$

Define $\mathcal{F}^*: L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ analogously, $\check{f} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \check{\phi}_n$.

\uparrow Limit is in L^2 norm.

It follows immediately that $\mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{F}^{-1}$ and thus that \mathcal{F} is bijective.

To summarize:

Thm The Fourier Transform $\mathcal{F}: L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is

* Bijective (one-to-one & onto)

* Preserves the norm: $\int |u(x)|^2 = \int |\hat{u}(x)|^2$

* Preserves the inner product: $\int \overline{u(x)}v(x) = \int \overline{\hat{u}(t)}\hat{v}(t) dt$

* Is continuously invertible.

In short, it is a "unitary map" or a "Hilbert space isomorphism".

Note We defined \hat{f} as the limit $\hat{f} = \lim \hat{\phi}_n$ where $\phi_n \in \mathcal{S}$.

In practise, it is enough to pick $\phi_n \in L^2 \cap L^1$, for instance

$$\hat{f}(t) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \leq R} e^{-ix \cdot t} f(x) dx = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int e^{-ix \cdot t} e^{-\epsilon|y|^2} f(x) dx$$

What is the spectrum of \mathcal{F} ?

First we note that since \mathcal{F} is unitary, we must have $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = 1\}$.

Moreover, ~~note that~~ $\mathcal{F}^2 = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{R}\mathcal{F}^*\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{R}$, and so $\mathcal{F}^4 = \mathcal{R}^2 = I$.

This ~~implies~~ implies that if $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{F})$, then $\lambda^4 = 1$ and so $\lambda \in \{1, -1, i, -i\}$.

(Formally: $\mathcal{F}^4 = \left(\int_{\sigma(\mathcal{F})} \lambda dP(\lambda) \right)^4 = \int_{\sigma(\mathcal{F})} \lambda^4 dP(\lambda) = I \Rightarrow \lambda^4 = 1$)

In fact the four numbers $1, -1, i, -i$ are all evals of multiplicity and we have explicit formulas for the eigenvectors!

In one dimension, these are the so call Hermite functions $(\phi_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, which form an ON-basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$$\phi_n(x) = \alpha_n e^{-x^2/2} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^n e^{-x^2} = \beta_n H_n(x) e^{-x^2/2} \text{ where } H_n \text{ are the Hermite polynomials.}$$

(The H_n are constructed for instance by applying Gram-Schmidt to $\{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$ w.r.t. $(u, v) = \int u v e^{-x^2} dx$)

It turns out that $F\phi_n = (-i)^n \phi_n$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) &= \ker(F-I) \oplus \ker(F+iI) \oplus \ker(F+I) \oplus \ker(F-iI) = \\ &= \text{span}(\phi_{4n}) \oplus \text{span}(\phi_{4n+1}) \oplus \text{span}(\phi_{4n+2}) \oplus \text{span}(\phi_{4n+3}) \end{aligned}$$

With this knowledge, we could also have defined F via

$$F\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \phi_n\right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n (i)^n \phi_n.$$

Sobolev Spaces

When is $\partial^\alpha f$ an L^2 function?

$$\partial^\alpha f \in L^2 \Leftrightarrow \int |\partial^\alpha f|^2 < \infty \Leftrightarrow \int |(-it)^{\alpha_1} \hat{f}(t)|^2 < \infty \Leftrightarrow (-it)^{\alpha_1} \hat{f} \in L^2$$

Let s be a non-negative integer and define the Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) &= \{f : \partial^\alpha f \in L^2 \quad \forall \alpha : |\alpha| \leq s\} = \\ &= \{f : (-it)^{\alpha_1} \hat{f} \in L^2 \quad \forall \alpha : |\alpha| \leq s\} = \\ &= \{f : (1+|t|^2)^{s/2} \hat{f} \in L^2\} = \left\{f : \int (1+|t|^2)^s |\hat{f}(t)|^2 dt < \infty\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The definition is readily extended to any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, not just positive integers.

Lemma If $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, for some $s > d/2$, then $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

More generally, if $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $s > \frac{d}{2} + k$, then $f \in C_0^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$.