

**Fall 2015:**  
**Computational and Variational Methods for Inverse Problems**  
**CSE 397/GEO 391/ME 397/ORI 397**  
**Assignment 4 (due 19 November 2015)**

The first problem in this assignment is a paper-and-pencil exercise in which you work out expressions for the gradient and the Hessian action (in a given direction) for a frequency domain inverse wave propagation problem. This is an industrially-important problem: it's how we image the subsurface in search of energy resources, and how we non-destructively evaluate materials for internal defects.

In the second problem, you will be asked to solve an inverse problem governed by an advection-diffusion equation (this is the prototype inverse problem we discussed in class) using HIPPLYlib. For this assignment we'll use just a steepest descent method; the next assignment will generalize the solver to a Newton method. You will be given an existing implementation of an steepest descent inverse problem solver (for a Poisson equation) and will be asked to modify it.

**1. Frequency-domain inverse wave propagation problem**

Here we formulate and solve an inverse acoustic wave propagation problem in the frequency domain, which is governed by the Helmholtz equation. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a bounded domain ( $d \in \{2, 3\}$ ) with boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . The idea is to propagate harmonic waves from multiple sources  $f_j(\mathbf{x})$  ( $j = 1, \dots, N_s$ ) at multiple frequencies  $\omega_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N_f$ ) into a medium and measure the amplitude  $u_{ij}(\mathbf{x})$  of the reflected wavefields at points  $\mathbf{x}_k$  ( $k = 1, \dots, N_d$ ) for each source and frequency, with the goal of inferring the soundspeed of the medium,  $c(\mathbf{x})$ . We can formulate this inverse problem as follows:

$$\min_m \mathcal{J}(m) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_i^{N_f} \sum_j^{N_s} \sum_k^{N_d} \int_{\Omega} (u_{ij}(m) - u_{ij}^{obs})^2 \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k) d\mathbf{x} + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla m \cdot \nabla m d\mathbf{x}$$

where  $u_{ij}(\mathbf{x})$  depends on the medium parameter field  $m(\mathbf{x})$ , which is equal to  $1/c(\mathbf{x})^2$ , through the solution of Helmholtz problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u_{ij} - \omega_i^2 m u_{ij} &= f_j & \text{in } \Omega, & \quad i = 1, \dots, N_f, \quad j = 1, \dots, N_s, \\ u_{ij} &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

In the problem above,  $u_{ij}^{obs}(\mathbf{x})$  denotes given measurements (for frequency  $i$  and source  $j$ ),  $u_{ij}$  is the amplitude of the acoustic wavefield, and  $\beta > 0$  is the regularization parameter.

1. Derive an expression for the (infinite dimensional) gradient of  $\mathcal{J}$  with respect to the medium  $m$  using the Lagrangian method for a single source and frequency, i.e., for  $N_f = N_s = 1$ . Give both weak and strong forms of the gradient.
2. Derive an expression for the (infinite dimensional) action of the Hessian in a direction  $\tilde{m}$  in the single source and frequency case. Give both weak and strong forms of the Hessian action.

- Derive an expression for the (infinite dimensional) gradient for an arbitrary number of sources and frequencies.<sup>1</sup> How many state and adjoint equations have to be solved for a single gradient computation?

## 2. Inverse advection-diffusion problem

We would like to solve the inverse problem for the advection-diffusion equation we discussed in class, on the domain  $\Omega = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ :

$$\min_m \mathcal{J}(m) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u(m) - u^{obs})^2 d\mathbf{x} + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla m \cdot \nabla m d\mathbf{x}, \quad (1)$$

where the field  $u(\mathbf{x})$  depends on the diffusivity  $m(\mathbf{x})$  through the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (m \nabla u) + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla u &= f && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$  is the advective velocity vector with components  $(v_x, v_y)$ ,  $\beta > 0$  is the regularization parameter, and  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is the source term. We synthesize the measurement data  $u^{obs}(\mathbf{x})$  by solving the forward advection-diffusion equation with  $m(x, y) = 4$  for  $(x - 0.5)^2 + (y - 0.5)^2 \leq 0.2^2$  and otherwise  $m(x, y) = 8$ , for a source  $f = 1$ . Noise is added to this “data” to simulate actual instrument noise.

On the class website ([http://users.ices.utexas.edu/~omar/inverse\\_problems](http://users.ices.utexas.edu/~omar/inverse_problems)), we provide the hIPPYlib/FEniCS code `PoissonDeterministic-SD.py`,<sup>2</sup> which is an implementation of the steepest descent method<sup>3</sup> for this problem with advective velocity  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  (i.e., for a pure diffusion problem). Please turn in your modified code along with numerical results for the following:

- Report the solution of the inverse problem and the number of required iterations for the following cases:
  - Noise level of 0.01 (roughly 1% noise), and regularization  $\beta = 5 \times 10^{-10}$ .
  - Same, but with  $\beta = 0$ , i.e., no regularization.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Hint: Every state equation with solution  $u_{ij}$  has a corresponding adjoint variable  $p_{ij}$ . The Lagrangian functional now involves the sum over all state equations.

<sup>2</sup>See the 10/28/15 bullet under the Handouts section; you can also download the IPython notebook that implements this code.

<sup>3</sup>Note that we do not advocate the use of the steepest descent method for variational inverse problems, since its convergence will generally be very slow. For all cases, please use a maximum number of iterations of at least 500—you will need it! In Assignment 5, we will solve this problem using an inexact Newton-CG method, which is far more efficient.

<sup>4</sup>Inverse problems can also be “iteratively regularized,” which means that an iterative method for solving the inverse problem is terminated early in the iterations, thus providing a regularizing effect without the need for any formal regularization term. The reason behind this is that iterative methods such as conjugate gradients tend to capture first the modes that are most informed by the data, and then proceeding to modes that are less informed by the data. Another way of putting this is that CG eliminates error components associated with large eigenvalues (of the Hessian) first before proceeding to smaller ones. The challenge in iterative regularization is to terminate the iterations late enough that data-informed modes are captured, but not too late that noisy modes are also brought into the solution. See, for example, the book by Kaipio and Somersalo for more on iterative regularization methods.

- (c) No noise and  $\beta = 0$ , and use  $m \equiv 4$  and  $m \equiv 8$  as initial guesses for the parameter field  $m$ . Do you find the same solution? Explain the behavior.
2. Add the advective term  $\mathbf{v} = (30, 0)$  to the inverse problem and its hIPPYlib/FEniCS implementation and plot the resulting reconstruction of  $m$  for a noise level of 0.01 and for a reasonably chosen regularization parameter.
  3. Since the coefficient  $m$  is discontinuous, a better choice of regularization is total variation rather than Tikhonov regularization, to prevent an overly smooth reconstruction. Modify the implementation and plot the result for a reasonably chosen regularization parameter. Use  $\varepsilon = 0.1$  to make the non-differentiable TV regularization term differentiable ( $\varepsilon$  has the same meaning as in Assignment 3).